



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/018,658                         | 12/21/2001           | Kunijuki Kajita      | L9289.01227         | 2181             |  |
| 24257                              | 7590 12/28/2005      |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP |                      |                      | CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M  |                  |  |
| SUITE 850                          | 261, IV W            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHINGT                           | WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |                      | 2133                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/018,658         | KAJITA, KUNIJUKI |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit         |  |  |
| Mujtaba K. Chaudry | 2133             |  |  |

|  | Mujtaba K. Chaudry  | 2133   |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add                                       | ress                                       |
| THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F  | OR ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance<br>time periods:   | ving replies: (1) an amendment, at<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m   | fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C         | rce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH  | g date of the final rejecti                              | on.  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origet than three months after the mailing de | of the fee. The appropr<br>ginally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t  | o avoid dismissal of th                                  | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since       |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or   | nsideration and/or search (see NC<br>w);  | TE below);   |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |   | jected claims.   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  | 21. See attached Notice of Non-C  | ompliant Amendment                                       | (PTOL-324).                                |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |   |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).   |   |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 34-51. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | ill be entered and an o                                  | explanation of                             |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | eal and/or appellant fa                                  | ils to provide a                           |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after   | entry is below or attac                                  | hed.                                       |
| 11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application   | in condition for allowa                                  | nce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s)  |  |
| 00000 12/19/05   |   |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has proposed new amendments/arguments and IDS to the present application which require further consideration by the Examiner in order to fairly determine patentability of the pending claims. Applicant is also invited to submit proposed amendments to the claims as discussed in the interview on Thursday, Dec. 15, 2005.

2